County Community Risk Profile (2018):   Clark

| School District | Population: 10-17 | Risk Ranking | | Risk Category Rank | | Contextual Indicators | |
		ATOD Risk Percentile	Indicators with Data	Consumption (ATOD)	Consequence	Economic Deprivation	Troubled Family
Evergreen (Clark)	17,227	58	21	Average	Average	Average	Low
Washougal	2,258	48	21	Average	Average	Average	Average
Battle Ground	9,389	39	21	Average	Average	Low	Low
Ridgefield	1,965	26	21	Average	Low	Low	Very Low
La Center	1,083	21	21	Average	Low	Low	Low
Hockinson	1,344	7	21	Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low
Camas	4,280	2	21	Very Low	Very Low	Low	Very Low

NOTES:
This risk profile reflects the risk levels of this county as of February 2018. School districts with no high schools are not included in this summary. Please note risk levels and risk rankings may change over time.

The ATOD consumption risk score is calculated from prevalence of alcohol, tobacco, and other drug use. The consequence risk score is calculated from school performance, youth delinquency, and mental health indicators. The overall risk ranking is not computed if either consumption or consequence score is missing.

A Risk Category Rank of "Very High" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 10% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "High" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 25% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "Average" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was between 25% and 75% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "Low" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the bottom 25% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "Very Low" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the bottom 10% of School Districts in the risk Category.

Review Considerations
1) To get an overall sense of risk severity for both consumption and consequence, examine the "Risk Percentile". It reflects what % of School District had a Risk Score LOWER than the referenced School District.
2) To ensure that the risk score is meaningful, examine the "Indicators with data" column. Risk scores based on few indicators should be interpreted with caution. In total, 26 indicators were used.
3) To consider other contextual information, examine the "Population: Age 0-17", "economic deprivation" indicator, and the "troubled family" indicator.   Note the "Population 0-17 year olds" value will be greater than district enrollment as it accounts for kids not in school as well as those in private schools.

Marijuana Composite Ranking
by School District, Clark County, 2018

Cowlitz

Green Mountain

La Center

Battle Ground

Ridgefield

Oregon

Clark

Skamania

Hockinson

Vancouver

Evergreen

Washougal

Camas

4.5 Miles

N

Composite Risk Ranking

■ Very High 90 - 99	■ Average 25 - 74	■ Very Low 1 - 9	School Districts	Highways and Major Roads
■ High 75 - 89	■ Low 10 - 24	▨ No data	Counties	Water Bodies

DATA NOTES: The percentile of the composite risk scores. The composite risk scores were calculated using standardized indicators in marijuana consumption and consequence. Cartography: Irina Sharkova.
SOURCE: DSHS Research and Data Analysis, Community Outcome and Risk Evaluation Information System (CORE).

Washington State
Department of Social & Health Services

Transforming lives

March 20, 2018

Marijuana Consumption Ranking
by School District, Clark County, 2018

Cowlitz

Green Mountain

La Center

Battle Ground

Ridgefield

Clark

Skamania

Oregon

Hockinson

Vancouver

Evergreen

Washougal

Camas

N

4.5
Miles

Consumption Risk Ranking

Very High 90 - 99

High 75 - 89

Average 25 - 74

Low 10 - 24

Very Low 1 - 9

No data

School Districts

Counties

Highways and Major Roads

Water Bodies

DATA NOTES: The percentile of the consumption risk scores. The consumption risk scores were calculated using standardized indicators in marijuana consumption. Cartography: Irina Sharkova.
SOURCE: DSHS Research and Data Analysis, Community Outcome and Risk Evaluation Information System (CORE).

March 20, 2018

Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drugs Composite Ranking
by School District, Clark County, 2018

Cowlitz

Green Mountain

La Center

Battle Ground

Ridgefield

Clark

Oregon

Skamania

Hockinson

Vancouver

Evergreen

Washougal

Camas

N

4.5

Miles

Composite Risk Ranking

- Very High 90 - 99
- High 75 - 89
- Average 25 - 74
- Low 10 - 24
- Very Low 1 - 9
- No data
- School Districts
- Counties
- Highways and Major Roads
- Water Bodies

DATA NOTES: The percentile of the composite risk scores. The composite risk scores were calculated using standardized indicators in the alcohol, tobacco and other drugs (ATOD) consumption and consequence. Cartography: Irina Sharkova.
SOURCE: DSHS Research and Data Analysis, Community Outcome and Risk Evaluation Information System (CORE).

Washington State
Department of Social
& Health Services

Transforming lives

March 20, 2018

Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drugs Consumption Ranking

by School District, Clark County, 2018

Consumption Risk Ranking

Very High 90 - 99
High 76 - 89
Average 25 - 75
Low 10 - 24
Very Low 1 - 9
No data

School Districts
Counties

Highways and Major Roads
Water Bodies

Washington State
Department of Social & Health Services

Transforming lives

DATA NOTES: The percentile of the consumption risk scores. The consumption risk scores were calculated using standardized indicators in the alcohol, tobacco and other drugs (ATOD) consumption. Cartography: Irina Sharkova.
SOURCE: DSHS Research and Data Analysis, Community Outcome and Risk Evaluation Information System (CORE).

March 20, 2018

Consequence Risk Ranking
by School District, Clark County, 2018

Consequence Risk Ranking

- ■ Very High 90 - 99
- ■ High 75 - 89
- ■ Average 25 - 74
- ■ Low 10 - 24
- ■ Very Low 1 - 9
- ▨ No data
- ⌐⌐ School Districts
- ▭ Counties
- — Highways and Major Roads
- ▭ Water Bodies

Washington State
Department of Social
& Health Services

Transforming lives

DATA NOTES: The percentile of the consequence risk scores. The consequence risk scores were calculated using standardized indicators in three sub-domains: school performance, youth delinquency, and mental health. Cartography: Irina Sharkova.
SOURCE: DSHS Research and Data Analysis, Community Outcome and Risk Evaluation Information System (CORE).

March 20, 2018

Risk Profile by High School Attendance Area (2018):   Vancouver

High School	Population: 10-17	Risk Ranking		Risk Category Rank		Contextual Indicators	
		ATOD Risk Percentile	Indicators with Data	Consumption (ATOD)	Consequence	Economic Deprivation	Troubled Family
Hudson's Bay H.S.	3,706	95	21	Very High	Very High	High	High
Fort Vancouver H.S.	4,625	82	21	High	High	Very High	High
Columbia River H.S.	1,892	71	21	High	Average	Average	No Data
Skyview H.S.	5,104	37	21	Average	Low	Average	Average

Marijuana Composite Ranking
by High School Attendance Area, Vancouver School District, 2018

Ridgefield

Skyview

Battle Ground

Columbia River

Vancouver

Clark

Hudson's Bay

Oregon

Fort Vancouver

Evergreen

2 Miles

N

Composite Risk Ranking

- Very High 90 - 97
- High 75 - 89
- Average 25 - 74
- Low 10 - 24
- Very Low 5 - 9
- School Districts
- Counties
- Highways and Major Roads
- Water Bodies

Washington State
Department of Social
& Health Services

Transforming lives

March 20, 2018

Marijuana Consumption Ranking

by High School Attendance Area, Vancouver School District, 2018

Consumption Risk Ranking

- High 75 - 89
- Low 15 - 24
- School Districts
- Highways and Major Roads
- Very High 90 - 96
- Average 25 - 74
- Counties
- Water Bodies

Washington State
Department of Social & Health Services

Transforming lives

DATA NOTES: The percentile of the consumption risk scores. The consumption risk scores were calculated using standardized indicators in marijuana consumption. Cartography: Irina Sharkova.

SOURCE: DSHS Research and Data Analysis, Community Outcome and Risk Evaluation Information System (CORE).

March 20, 2018

Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drugs Composite Ranking

## by High School Attendance Area, Vancouver School District, 2018

Ridgefield

Skyview

Battle Ground

Columbia River

Clark

Vancouver

Hudson's Bay

Oregon

Fort Vancouver

Evergreen

N

2 Miles

Composite Risk Ranking

High 75 - 89	Low 10 - 24	School Districts
Very High 90 - 97	Average 25 - 74	Very Low 7 - 9	Counties
		Water Bodies

Highways and Major Roads

Washington State
Department of Social
& Health Services

Transforming lives

DATA NOTES: The percentile of the composite risk scores. The composite risk scores were calculated using standardized indicators in the alcohol, tobacco and other drugs (ATOD) consumption and consequence. Cartography: Irina Sharkova.

SOURCE: DSHS Research and Data Analysis, Community Outcome and Risk Evaluation Information System (CORE).

March 20, 2018

Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drugs Consumption Ranking

by High School Attendance Area, Vancouver School District, 2018

Consumption Risk Ranking

- High 75 - 89
- Low 16 - 24
- Very High 90 - 95
- Average 25 - 74

School Districts
Counties
Water Bodies
Highways and Major Roads

Washington State
Department of Social & Health Services

Transforming lives

DATA NOTES: The percentile of the consumption risk scores. The consumption risk scores were calculated using standardized indicators in the alcohol, tobacco and other drugs (ATOD) consumption. Cartography: Irina Sharkova.

SOURCE: DSHS Research and Data Analysis, Community Outcome and Risk Evaluation Information System (CORE).

March 20, 2018

Consequence Risk Ranking

by High School Attendance Area, Vancouver School District, 2018

Ridgefield

Skyview

Columbia River

Battle Ground

Clark

Vancouver

Hudson's Bay

Oregon

Fort Vancouver

Evergreen

N

2
Miles

Consequence Risk Ranking

- High 75 - 89
- Low 10 - 24
- School Districts
- Highways and Major Roads
- Very High 90 - 99
- Average 25 - 74
- Very Low 2 - 9
- Counties
- Water Bodies

Washington State
Department of Social & Health Services

Transforming lives

DATA NOTES: The percentile of the consequence risk scores. The consequence risk scores were calculated using standardized indicators in three sub-domains: school performance, youth delinquency, and mental health. Cartography: Irina Sharkova.

SOURCE: DSHS Research and Data Analysis, Community Outcome and Risk Evaluation Information System (CORE).

March 20, 2018