

County Community Risk Profile (2018): King

		Risk Ranking		Risk Category Rank		Contextual Indicators	
School District	Population: 10-17	ATOD Risk Percentile	Indicators with Data	Consumption (ATOD)	Consequence	Economic Deprivation	Troubled Family
Highline	12,967	74	21	Average	High	High	Average
Auburn	10,632	53	21	Average	Average	High	Average
Vashon Island	1,144	45	21	Average	Average	Very Low	Low
Federal Way	16,119	43	21	Average	Average	Average	Average
Enumclaw	3,174	38	21	Average	Average	Low	Average
Kent	19,971	33	21	Average	Average	Average	Average
Renton	11,170	22	21	Low	Average	Average	Average
South Central-Tukwila	1,839	20	21	Low	Average	Very High	No Data
Snoqualmie Valley	4,573	18	21	Low	Low	Very Low	Very Low
Riverview	2,517	14	21	Low	Low	Very Low	Very Low
Tahoma	5,428	12	21	Low	Low	Very Low	Low
Shoreline	6,325	10	21	Low	Very Low	Low	Low
Northshore	14,603	5	21	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low
Bellevue	12,512	4	21	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low
Lake Washington	18,005	3	21	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low
Mercer Island	3,010	2	21	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low
Issaquah	13,057	2	21	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low
Skykomish	34	.	1	No Data	No Data	Average	High

NOTES:

This risk profile reflects the risk levels of this county as of February 2018. School districts with no high schools are not included in this summary. Please note risk levels and risk rankings may change over time.

The ATOD consumption risk score is calculated from prevalence of alcohol, tobacco, and other drug use. The consequence risk score is calculated from school performance, youth delinquency, and mental health indicators. The overall risk ranking is not computed if either consumption or consequence score is missing.

A Risk Category Rank of "Very High" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 10% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "High" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 25% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "Average" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was between 25% and 75% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "Low" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the bottom 25% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "Very Low" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the bottom 10% of School Districts in the risk Category.

Review Considerations


1) To get an overall sense of risk severity for both consumption and consequence, examine the "Risk Percentile". It reflects what % of School District had a Risk Score LOWER than the referenced School District.

2) To ensure that the risk score is meaningful, examine the "Indicators with data" column. Risk scores based on few indicators should be interpreted with caution. In total, 26 indicators were used.

3) To consider other contextual information, examine the "Population: Age 0-17", "economic deprivation" indicator, and the "troubled family" indicator. Note the "Population 0-17 year olds" value will be greater than district enrollment as it accounts for kids not in school as well as those in private schools.

Marijuana Composite Ranking

by School District, King County, 2018



Composite Risk Ranking

- Average 25 - 74
- Very Low 1 - 9
- School Districts
- Highways and Major Roads
- Very High 90 - 99
- Low 10 - 24
- No data
- Counties
- Water Bodies
- High 75 - 89

DATA NOTES: The percentile of the composite risk scores. The composite risk scores were calculated using standardized indicators in marijuana consumption and consequence. Cartography: Irina Sharkova.

SOURCE: DSHS Research and Data Analysis, Community Outcome and Risk Evaluation Information System (CORE).




Transforming lives

March 20, 2018

Marijuana Consumption Ranking

by School District, King County, 2018



Consumption Risk Ranking

- Average 25 - 74
- Very Low 1 - 9
- School Districts
- Highways and Major Roads
- Very High 90 - 99
- Low 10 - 24
- No data
- Counties
- Water Bodies
- High 75 - 89

DATA NOTES: The percentile of the consumption risk scores. The consumption risk scores were calculated using standardized indicators in marijuana consumption. Cartography: Irina Sharkova.

SOURCE: DSHS Research and Data Analysis, Community Outcome and Risk Evaluation Information System (CORE).




Transforming lives

March 20, 2018

Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drugs Composite Ranking

by School District, King County, 2018



Composite Risk Ranking

- Very High 90 - 99
- High 75 - 89
- Average 25 - 74
- Low 10 - 24
- Very Low 1 - 9
- No data
- School Districts
- Counties
- Highways and Major Roads
- Water Bodies

DATA NOTES: The percentile of the composite risk scores. The composite risk scores were calculated using standardized indicators in the alcohol, tobacco and other drugs (ATOD) consumption and consequence. Cartography: Irina Sharkova.
SOURCE: DSHS Research and Data Analysis, Community Outcome and Risk Evaluation Information System (CORE).




Transforming lives

March 20, 2018

Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drugs Consumption Ranking

by School District, King County, 2018



Consumption Risk Ranking

- Very High 90 - 99
- High 76 - 89
- Average 25 - 75
- Low 10 - 24
- Very Low 1 - 9
- No data
- School Districts
- Counties
- Highways and Major Roads
- Water Bodies

DATA NOTES: The percentile of the consumption risk scores. The consumption risk scores were calculated using standardized indicators in the alcohol, tobacco and other drugs (ATOD) consumption. Cartography: Irina Sharkova.

SOURCE: DSHS Research and Data Analysis, Community Outcome and Risk Evaluation Information System (CORE).




Transforming lives

March 20, 2018

Consequence Risk Ranking

by School District, King County, 2018



Consequence Risk Ranking

	Very High 90 - 99		Very Low 1 - 9		School Districts		Highways and Major Roads
	High 75 - 89		Low 10 - 24		No data		Counties
	Average 25 - 74				Water Bodies		

DATA NOTES: The percentile of the consequence risk scores. The consequence risk scores were calculated using standardized indicators in three sub-domains: school performance, youth delinquency, and mental health. Cartography: Irina Sharkova.
SOURCE: DSHS Research and Data Analysis, Community Outcome and Risk Evaluation Information System (CORE).



Transforming lives


March 20, 2018

Risk Profile by High School Attendance Area (2018): Seattle

		Risk Ranking		Risk Category Rank		Contextual Indicators	
High School	Population: 10-17	ATOD Risk Percentile	Indicators with Data	Consumption (ATOD)	Consequence	Economic Deprivation	Troubled Family
Rainier Beach H.S.	3,476	67	21	Average	Very High	Very High	High
Chief Sealth H.S.	4,183	60	21	Average	Average	High	Very High
Garfield H.S.	5,195	45	21	Average	Low	Average	Average
West Seattle H.S.	3,127	25	21	Average	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low
Ballard H.S.	5,610	21	21	Average	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low
Ingraham H.S.	3,560	20	21	Average	Very Low	Low	Average
Franklin H.S.	4,767	18	21	Low	Low	Average	Average
Nathan Hale H.S.	3,472	12	21	Low	Very Low	Low	Low
Roosevelt H.S.	5,384	7	21	Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low

Marijuana Composite Ranking

by High School Attendance Area, Seattle School District, 2018



Composite Risk Ranking

- Very High 90 - 97
- High 75 - 89
- Average 25 - 74
- Low 10 - 24
- Very Low 5 - 9

School Districts
 Counties
 Highways and Major Roads
 Water Bodies

DATA NOTES: The percentile of the composite risk scores. The composite risk scores were calculated using standardized indicators in marijuana consumption and consequence. Cartography: Irina Sharkova.

SOURCE: DSHS Research and Data Analysis, Community Outcome and Risk Evaluation Information System (CORE).




Transforming lives

March 20, 2018

Marijuana Consumption Ranking

by High School Attendance Area, Seattle School District, 2018



Consumption Risk Ranking

 Very High 90 - 96	 High 75 - 89	 Average 25 - 74	 Low 15 - 24	 School Districts	 Highways and Major Roads
	 Counties	 Water Bodies			

DATA NOTES: The percentile of the consumption risk scores. The consumption risk scores were calculated using standardized indicators in marijuana consumption. Cartography: Irina Sharkova.

SOURCE: DSHS Research and Data Analysis, Community Outcome and Risk Evaluation Information System (CORE).




Transforming lives

March 20, 2018

Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drugs Composite Ranking

by High School Attendance Area, Seattle School District, 2018



Composite Risk Ranking

Very High 90 - 97	High 75 - 89	Average 25 - 74	Low 10 - 24	Very Low 7 - 9	School Districts	Highways and Major Roads
Counties	Water Bodies					

DATA NOTES: The percentile of the composite risk scores. The composite risk scores were calculated using standardized indicators in the alcohol, tobacco and other drugs (ATOD) consumption and consequence. Cartography: Irina Sharkova.

SOURCE: DSHS Research and Data Analysis, Community Outcome and Risk Evaluation Information System (CORE).




Transforming lives

March 20, 2018

Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drugs Consumption Ranking

by High School Attendance Area, Seattle School District, 2018



Consumption Risk Ranking

Very High 90 - 95	High 75 - 89	Low 16 - 24	School Districts	Highways and Major Roads
Average 25 - 74	Low 16 - 24	Counties	Water Bodies	

DATA NOTES: The percentile of the consumption risk scores. The consumption risk scores were calculated using standardized indicators in the alcohol, tobacco and other drugs (ATOD) consumption. Cartography: Irina Sharkova.

SOURCE: DSHS Research and Data Analysis, Community Outcome and Risk Evaluation Information System (CORE).




Transforming lives

March 20, 2018

Consequence Risk Ranking

by High School Attendance Area, Seattle School District, 2018



Consequence Risk Ranking

 Very High 90 - 99	 High 75 - 89	 Average 25 - 74	 Low 10 - 24	 School Districts	 Highways and Major Roads
 Counties	 Water Bodies				

DATA NOTES: The percentile of the consequence risk scores. The consequence risk scores were calculated using standardized indicators in three sub-domains: school performance, youth delinquency, and mental health. Cartography: Irina Sharkova.

SOURCE: DSHS Research and Data Analysis, Community Outcome and Risk Evaluation Information System (CORE).



Transforming lives

March 20, 2018