## County Community Risk Profile (2015): Grant

		Risk Ranking		Risk Category Rank		Contextual Indicators	
School District	Population: Age 10-17	Risk Percentile	Risk Indicator with Data	Consumption (ATOD)	Consequence	Economic Deprivation	Troubled Family
Wahluke	1155	95	13	Very High	High	Average	Very Low
Quincy	1,802	91	21	Very High	Average	High	Very Low
Grand Coulee Dam	350	89	21	Very High	High	Average	High
Moses Lake	5,213	78	21	High	High	High	High
Ephrata	1,534	66	21	Average	Average	Average	Average
Royal	979	50	21	Average	Average	Average	Low
Warden	622	13	12	Very Low	Average	Very High	Average
Coulee-Hartline	116		1	No Data	No Data	Average	Average
Soap Lake	308		4	No Data	No Data	High	Very High
Wilson Creek	55		1	No Data	No Data	No Data	Average
			•				

## County Community Risk Profile (2015): Grant

## NOTE:

This risk profile reflects the risk levels of this county as of February 2015. School districts with no high schools are not included in this summary. Please note risk levels and risk rankings may change over time.

The ATOD consumption risk score is calculated from prevalence of alcohol, tobacco, and other drug use. The consequence risk score is calculated from school performance, youth delinquency, and mental health indicators. The overall risk ranking is not computed if either consumption or sonsequence score is missing.

A Risk Category Rank of "Very High" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 10% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "High" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 25% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "Average" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was between 25% and 75% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "Low" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the bottom 25% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "Very Low" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the bottom 10% of School Districts in the risk Category.

## **Review Considerations**

- 1) To get a overall sense of risk severity for both consumption and consequence, examine the 'Risk Percentile'. It reflects what % of School District had a Risk Score LOWER than the referenced School District.
- 2) To ensure that the risk score is meaningful, examine the 'Indicators with data' column. Risk scores based on few indicators should be interpreted with caution. In total, 26 indicators were used.
- 3) To consider other contextual information, examine the 'Population: Age 0-17', "economic deprivation" indicator, and the "troubled family" indicator. Note the "Population 0-17 year olds" value will be greater than district enrollment as it accounts for kids not in school as well as those in private schools.