

County Community Risk Profile (2018): Lewis

School District	Population: 10-17	Risk Ranking		Risk Category Rank		Contextual Indicators	
		ATOD Risk Percentile	Indicators with Data	Consumption (ATOD)	Consequence	Economic Deprivation	Troubled Family
White Pass	269	99	20	Very High	Very High	High	High
Morton	193	91	21	High	Very High	High	High
Centralia	2,179	75	21	Average	High	Very High	High
Onalaska	558	48	21	Average	Average	Average	Average
Chehalis	1,642	44	21	Average	Average	High	Average
Napavine	478	42	20	Average	Average	Average	No Data
Toledo	473	30	21	Average	Average	Average	Average
Pe Ell	174	7	21	Very Low	Low	Average	No Data
Winlock	496	4	12	Very Low	Average	High	High
Mossyrock	402	.	4	No Data	No Data	Average	Average
Adna	459	.	4	No Data	No Data	Low	No Data

NOTES:

This risk profile reflects the risk levels of this county as of February 2018. School districts with no high schools are not included in this summary. Please note risk levels and risk rankings may change over time.

The ATOD consumption risk score is calculated from prevalence of alcohol, tobacco, and other drug use. The consequence risk score is calculated from school performance, youth delinquency, and mental health indicators. The overall risk ranking is not computed if either consumption or consequence score is missing.

A Risk Category Rank of "Very High" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 10% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "High" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 25% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "Average" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was between 25% and 75% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "Low" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the bottom 25% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "Very Low" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the bottom 10% of School Districts in the risk Category.

Review Considerations


1) To get an overall sense of risk severity for both consumption and consequence, examine the "Risk Percentile". It reflects what % of School District had a Risk Score LOWER than the referenced School District.

2) To ensure that the risk score is meaningful, examine the "Indicators with data" column. Risk scores based on few indicators should be interpreted with caution. In total, 26 indicators were used.

3) To consider other contextual information, examine the "Population: Age 0-17", "economic deprivation" indicator, and the "troubled family" indicator. Note the "Population 0-17 year olds" value will be greater than district enrollment as it accounts for kids not in school as well as those in private schools.

Marijuana Composite Ranking

by School District, Lewis County, 2018



Composite Risk Ranking

Very High 90 - 99	High 75 - 89	Average 25 - 74	Low 10 - 24	Very Low 1 - 9	No data	School Districts	Highways and Major Roads
						Counties	Water Bodies

DATA NOTES: The percentile of the composite risk scores. The composite risk scores were calculated using standardized indicators in marijuana consumption and consequence. Cartography: Irina Sharkova.

SOURCE: DSHS Research and Data Analysis, Community Outcome and Risk Evaluation Information System (CORE).




Transforming lives

March 20, 2018

Marijuana Consumption Ranking

by School District, Lewis County, 2018



Consumption Risk Ranking

- Average 25 - 74
- Very Low 1 - 9
- School Districts
- Highways and Major Roads
- Very High 90 - 99
- Low 10 - 24
- No data
- Counties
- Water Bodies
- High 75 - 89

DATA NOTES: The percentile of the consumption risk scores. The consumption risk scores were calculated using standardized indicators in marijuana consumption. Cartography: Irina Sharkova.

SOURCE: DSHS Research and Data Analysis, Community Outcome and Risk Evaluation Information System (CORE).




Transforming lives

March 20, 2018

Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drugs Composite Ranking

by School District, Lewis County, 2018



Composite Risk Ranking

Very High 90 - 99	Average 25 - 74	Low 10 - 24	Very Low 1 - 9	No data	School Districts	Highways and Major Roads
High 75 - 89	Low 10 - 24	No data	School Districts	Counties	Water Bodies	

DATA NOTES: The percentile of the composite risk scores. The composite risk scores were calculated using standardized indicators in the alcohol, tobacco and other drugs (ATOD) consumption and consequence. Cartography: Irina Sharkova.
SOURCE: DSHS Research and Data Analysis, Community Outcome and Risk Evaluation Information System (CORE).





Transforming lives

March 20, 2018

Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drugs Consumption Ranking

by School District, Lewis County, 2018



DATA NOTES: The percentile of the consumption risk scores. The consumption risk scores were calculated using standardized indicators in the alcohol, tobacco and other drugs (ATOD) consumption. Cartography: Irina Sharkova.

SOURCE: DSHS Research and Data Analysis, Community Outcome and Risk Evaluation Information System (CORE).





Transforming lives

March 20, 2018

Consequence Risk Ranking

by School District, Lewis County, 2018



DATA NOTES: The percentile of the consequence risk scores. The consequence risk scores were calculated using standardized indicators in three sub-domains: school performance, youth delinquency, and mental health. Cartography: Irina Sharkova.
SOURCE: DSHS Research and Data Analysis, Community Outcome and Risk Evaluation Information System (CORE).



Transforming lives

March 20, 2018