

# ***Excellence in Prevention*** – descriptions of the prevention programs and strategies with the greatest evidence of success

---

## **Name of Program/Strategy: Protecting You/Protecting Me**

### **Report Contents**

1. Overview and description
2. Implementation considerations (if available)
3. Descriptive information
4. Outcomes
5. Cost effectiveness report (Washington State Institute of Public Policy – if available)
6. Washington State results (from Performance Based Prevention System (PBPS) – if available)
7. Who is using this program/strategy
8. Study populations
9. Quality of studies
10. Readiness for Dissemination
11. Costs (if available)
12. Contacts for more information

---

### **1. Overview and description**

Protecting You/Protecting Me (PY/PM) is a 5-year classroom-based alcohol use prevention and vehicle safety program for elementary school students in grades 1-5 (ages 6-11) and high school students in grades 11 and 12. The program aims to reduce alcohol-related injuries and death among children and youth due to underage alcohol use and riding in vehicles with drivers who are not alcohol free. PY/PM consists of a series of 40 science- and health-based lessons, with 8 lessons per year for grades 1-5. All lessons are correlated with educational achievement objectives. PY/PM lessons and activities focus on teaching children about (1) the brain--how it continues to develop throughout childhood and adolescence, what alcohol does to the developing brain, and why it is important for children to protect their brains; (2) vehicle safety, particularly what children can do to protect themselves if they have to ride with someone who is not alcohol free; and (3) life skills, including decision-making, stress management, media awareness, resistance strategies, and communication. Lessons are taught weekly and are 20-25 minutes or 45-50 minutes in duration, depending on the grade level. A variety of ownership activities promote students' ownership of the information and reinforces the skills taught during the lesson.

1

---

***Excellence in Prevention*** is a project of Oregon Addiction and Mental Health Services and Washington Division of Behavioral Health and Recovery. Information is drawn from many sources, including the National Registry for Effective Prevention Programs (NREPP), sponsored by the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention.

# ***Excellence in Prevention*** – descriptions of the prevention programs and strategies with the greatest evidence of success

---

Parent take-home activities are offered for all 40 lessons. PY/PM's interactive and affective teaching processes include role-playing, small group and classroom discussions, reading, writing, storytelling, art, and music. The curriculum can be taught by school staff or prevention specialists. PY/PM also has a high school component for students in grades 11 and 12. The youth-led implementation model involves delivery of the PY/PM curriculum to elementary students by trained high school students who are enrolled in a peer mentoring, family and consumer science, or leadership course for credit. The program's benefits to high school students are derived from learning about the brain and how alcohol use can impact adolescents, serving as role models to the elementary school participants, and taking coursework in preparation for delivering the curriculum.

## **2. Implementation considerations (if available)**

### **3. Descriptive information**

<b>Areas of Interest</b>	Substance abuse prevention
<b>Outcomes</b>	1: Media awareness and literacy 2: Alcohol use risk and protective factors 3: Knowledge of brain growth and development 4: Vehicle safety knowledge/skills 5: Alcohol use
<b>Outcome Categories</b>	Alcohol
<b>Ages</b>	6-12 (Childhood) 13-17 (Adolescent) 18-25 (Young adult)
<b>Gender</b>	Male Female
<b>Races/Ethnicities</b>	American Indian or Alaska Native Asian Black or African American Hispanic or Latino White Race/ethnicity unspecified

## ***Excellence in Prevention*** – descriptions of the prevention programs and strategies with the greatest evidence of success

---

<b>Settings</b>	School
<b>Geographic Locations</b>	Urban Suburban Rural and/or frontier
<b>Implementation History</b>	Evaluation results for PY/PM were first published in 2000. To date, 1,800 sites have implemented the intervention, with close to 220,000 individuals participating. Approximately 10 evaluation studies have been conducted.
<b>NIH Funding/CER Studies</b>	Partially/fully funded by National Institutes of Health: No Evaluated in comparative effectiveness research studies: No
<b>Adaptations</b>	The curricula for grades 1-5 have been translated into Spanish. The intervention also has been adapted for use with American Indians.
<b>Adverse Effects</b>	No adverse effects, concerns, or unintended consequences were identified by the developer.
<b>IOM Prevention Categories</b>	Universal

### **4. Outcomes**

#### **Outcome 1: Media awareness and literacy**

<b>Description of Measures</b>	<p>Media awareness and literacy were measured using survey items developed for the study, such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• "Do commercials on TV tell us what we need to know?"</li> <li>• "Do commercials on TV ever leave out information that could hurt people?"</li> <li>• "Do commercials on TV always tell the truth?"</li> <li>• "Do beer commercials on TV show what happens when people drink?"</li> </ul> <p>For younger participants (grades 1 and 2), pictures of gesturing hands (thumb up, thumb down, or open hands) were used for items with yes, no, and maybe responses, and appropriate pictures with text were used to depict answers to other types of questions. For students in grades 3-5, responses were given on a 4-point scale from strongly agree to strongly disagree.</p>
--------------------------------	--

## ***Excellence in Prevention*** – descriptions of the prevention programs and strategies with the greatest evidence of success

<b>Key Findings</b>	<p>Students in grades 1 and 2 who received the youth-led PY/PM intervention showed a statistically significant increase in media awareness and literacy at posttest relative to the assessment-only comparison group (<math>p &lt; .01</math>).</p> <p>Students in grades 3-5 who received the youth-led PY/PM intervention showed statistically significant improvements in media awareness and literacy at posttest and at 6-week follow-up relative to the assessment-only comparison group (all <math>p</math> values <math>&lt; .05</math>).</p> <p>Students in grades 4 and 5 who received the teacher-led PY/PM intervention did not show any statistically significant change in media awareness and literacy at posttest relative to the assessment-only comparison group.</p>
<b>Studies Measuring Outcome</b>	Study 1, Study 2, Study 3
<b>Study Designs</b>	Experimental, Quasi-experimental
<b>Quality of Research Rating</b>	2.8 (0.0-4.0 scale)

### **Outcome 2: Alcohol use risk and protective factors**

<b>Description of Measures</b>	<p>Alcohol use risk and protective factors were measured using a combination of items developed for the study, items from the Monitoring the Future survey, and items from a scale developed by Williams, Toomey, McGovern, Wagenaar, and Perry.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Items developed for the study. Students in grades 3-5 and 11 and 12 were asked about underage alcohol use and their intentions to use alcohol; for example, "Is it OK for older teenagers to drink beer?," "Do you think you might drink beer when you are a teenager?," and "In the future, do you think you will: drink beer; drink wine (other than at religious services); drink flavored alcohol drinks or wine coolers; drink liquor (whisky, vodka, tequila, etc.) straight or in mixed drinks." Students also were asked to indicate their agreement or disagreement with the following statements: "Drinking alcohol is OK for people under age 21," "I can't wait to be old enough to drink alcohol legally," "I might drink alcohol when I am older," and "I will probably drink alcohol when I am old enough."</li> <li>• Items adapted from the Monitoring the Future survey. Students in grades 1-5 and 11 and 12 were asked about the perceived</li> </ul>
--------------------------------	---

## ***Excellence in Prevention*** – descriptions of the prevention programs and strategies with the greatest evidence of success

	<p>harm of alcohol use; for example, "Is it harmful for teenagers to drink beer?" and "How much do you think people under 21 years of age harm themselves if they: try one or two drinks of beer; try one or two drinks of wine; try one or two drinks of liquor." Students also were asked about the perceived risks of high and low levels of alcohol use, rating the following as being associated with no risk, slight risk, moderate risk, or great risk: "Have five or more drinks at one time," "Drive after drinking one or two drinks," "Drive after drinking three or more drinks," "Try one or two drinks of an alcoholic beverage," and "Have one or two drinks once or twice a month."</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Items developed by Williams, Toomey, McGovern, Wagenaar, and Perry. Students in grades 11 and 12 were asked about their self-efficacy to refuse alcohol; for example, "How sure are you that you could say no if you were offered alcohol in these situations: at a friend's house; by an older brother or sister; at a party or dance; by a boyfriend or girlfriend."</li> </ul>
<b>Key Findings</b>	<p>Students in grades 1 and 2 who received the youth-led PY/PM intervention showed a statistically significant increase in their knowledge of the dangers of underage alcohol use at posttest relative to the assessment-only comparison group (<math>p = .05</math>).</p> <p>Students in grades 3-5 who received the youth-led PY/PM intervention did not show any statistically significant increase in their knowledge of the risks of underage drinking at posttest and at 6-week follow-up relative to the assessment-only comparison group.</p> <p>Students in grades 4 and 5 who received the teacher-led PY/PM intervention showed statistically significant increases in negative attitudes toward underage alcohol use (<math>p = .02</math>), perception of the potential harm of alcohol use (<math>p &lt; .01</math>), and intentions not to use alcohol (<math>p &lt; .05</math>) at posttest relative to the assessment-only comparison group (<math>p &lt; .01</math>).</p> <p>High school students (grades 11 and 12) who taught PY/PM showed statistically significant increases in their perceptions of the potential harm of underage alcohol use (<math>p &lt; .001</math>) and of the risks associated with high levels of alcohol use (<math>p = .022</math>) at posttest relative to the assessment-only comparison group. There were no statistically significant differences between the intervention and comparison groups in self-efficacy to refuse alcohol.</p>
<b>Studies Measuring Outcome</b>	Study 1, Study 2, Study 3, Study 4
<b>Study Designs</b>	Experimental, Quasi-experimental

# ***Excellence in Prevention*** – descriptions of the prevention programs and strategies with the greatest evidence of success

<b>Quality of Research Rating</b>	3.2 (0.0-4.0 scale)
-----------------------------------	---------------------

## **Outcome 3: Knowledge of brain growth and development**

<p><b>Description of Measures</b></p>	<p>Knowledge of brain growth and development was measured using a combination of survey items developed for the study, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• "When are people grown up?" (18 years old, 21 years old, or 25 years old)</li> <li>• "What is the boss of your body?" (heart or brain)</li> <li>• "What is the brain in charge of?" (thinking, feelings, breathing, or all of the above)</li> <li>• "Drinking alcohol: messes up how the brain and body communicate; changes the brain's chemistry; changes how the brain works."</li> <li>• "Drinking alcohol affects people the same regardless of their age."</li> <li>• "Are people grown up when they are 18 years old?"</li> <li>• "Drinking alcohol is more dangerous for people under 21 than for people 21 and older."</li> <li>• "I know what alcohol does to the brain of people under 21."</li> <li>• "Drinking alcohol distorts messages to and from the brain."</li> <li>• "Drinking alcohol harms the development of people under 21."</li> </ul> <p>For younger participants (grades 1 and 2), pictures of gesturing hands (thumb up, thumb down, or open hands) were used for items with yes, no, and maybe responses, and appropriate pictures with text were used to depict answers to other types of questions. For students in grades 3-5, responses were given on a 4-point scale from strongly agree to strongly disagree.</p>
<p><b>Key Findings</b></p>	<p>Students in grades 1 and 2 who received the youth-led PY/PM intervention showed a statistically significant increase in their knowledge of brain growth and development at posttest relative to the assessment-only comparison group (all p values = .05).</p> <p>Students in grades 3-5 who received the youth-led PY/PM intervention showed statistically significant improvements in their knowledge of brain growth and development at posttest and at 6-</p>

## ***Excellence in Prevention*** – descriptions of the prevention programs and strategies with the greatest evidence of success

---

	<p>week follow- up relative to the assessment-only comparison group (all p values &lt; .001).</p> <p>Students in grades 4 and 5 who received the teacher-led PY/PM intervention showed a statistically the assessment-only comparison group (p &lt; .01).</p> <p>High school students (grades 11 and 12) who taught PY/PM showed a statistically significant increase in their knowledge of brain growth and development at posttest relative to the assessment-only comparison group (p &lt; .001).</p>
<b>Studies Measuring Outcome</b>	Study 1, Study 2, Study 3, Study 4
<b>Study Designs</b>	Experimental, Quasi-experimental
<b>Quality of Research Rating</b>	2.9 (0.0-4.0 scale)

### **Outcome 4: Vehicle safety knowledge/skills**

<b>Description of Measures</b>	<p>Vehicle safety knowledge/skills were measured using a combination of items developed for the study, items from the Monitoring the Future survey, and items from a scale developed by Williams, Toomey, McGovern, Wagenaar, and Perry.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Items developed for the study. Students in grades 1-5 were asked what they would do if they had to ride with a driver under the influence of alcohol; for example, "If you ever had to ride in a car with a driver who had been drinking alcohol, what would you do?" (talk to the driver; sit in the front seat to be near the driver in case he or she needed help; sit in the back seat). Other questions asked students what they would do in specific scenarios (e.g., riding with a friend's college-age brother who had been drinking beer; riding with a friend's mother who may have had a drink of beer or wine). Students also were asked about their attitudes toward drinking and driving (e.g., "Should people who drive cars always be alcohol-free?" and "Is it okay to get in a car with a driver who has had a beer?").</li> <li>• Items adapted from the Monitoring the Future survey. Students in grades 11 and 12 were asked, "How many times have you ridden in a car with a driver who had recently been drinking alcohol?" and "How many times have you driven a car within</li> </ul>
--------------------------------	--

## ***Excellence in Prevention*** – descriptions of the prevention programs and strategies with the greatest evidence of success

---

	<p>two hours after drinking alcohol?" Response choices included not in the past 30 days, 1 or 2 times, 3-10 times, 11-19 times, and 20+ times.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Items developed by Williams, Toomey, McGovern, Wagenaar, and Perry. Students in grades 11 and 12 were asked about their efficacy to refuse to ride with an impaired driver: "How sure are you that you could avoid getting into a car driven by someone who has been drinking?" Response options ranged from 1 (I could say no) to 5 (I could not say no).</li> </ul>
<b>Key Findings</b>	<p>Students in grades 1 and 2 who received the youth-led PY/PM intervention showed a statistically significant increase in vehicle safety knowledge/skills at posttest relative to the assessment-only comparison students (all p values &lt; .05).</p> <p>Students in grades 3-5 who received the youth-led PY/PM intervention showed statistically significant improvements in vehicle safety knowledge/skills at posttest and at 6-week follow-up relative to the assessment-only comparison group (all p values &lt; .05).</p> <p>Students in grades 4 and 5 who received the teacher-led PY/PM intervention showed a statistically significant increase in vehicle safety knowledge/skills at posttest relative to students in the assessment-only comparison group (p &lt; .01).</p> <p>High school students (grades 11 and 12) who taught PY/PM did not show any statistically significant change in their vehicle safety knowledge/skills relative to the assessment-only comparison group.</p>
<b>Studies Measuring Outcome</b>	Study 1, Study 2, Study 3, Study 4
<b>Study Designs</b>	Experimental, Quasi-experimental
<b>Quality of Research Rating</b>	3.0 (0.0-4.0 scale)

### **Outcome 5: Alcohol use**

<b>Description of Measures</b>	Alcohol use was assessed using items from the Monitoring the Future survey. Students were asked "How recently, if ever, have you done the following: had beer; had wine (other than at religious
--------------------------------	--

## ***Excellence in Prevention*** – descriptions of the prevention programs and strategies with the greatest evidence of success

---

	<p>services); had flavored alcohol drinks or wine coolers; had liquor (whisky, vodka, tequila, etc.) straight or in mixed drinks." Response options included never, at least once in the past month (past 30 days), at least once since school began in the fall, and at least once during lifetime.</p> <p>To assess binge drinking, students were asked "How many times have you had five or more alcohol drinks in one sitting?" Response options included not in the past 30 days, 1 or 2 times, 3-10 times, 11-19 times, and 20+ times.</p>
<b>Key Findings</b>	<p>Students in grades 4 and 5 who received the teacher-led PY/PM intervention showed a statistically significant decrease in current alcohol use at posttest relative to the assessment-only comparison group (<math>p &lt; .05</math>). This effect was mediated by improved knowledge on the effects of alcohol in the brain and a decrease in intentions to use alcohol.</p> <p>High school students (grades 11 and 12) who taught PY/PM showed a statistically significant decrease in their frequency of binge drinking at posttest relative to the assessment-only comparison group (<math>p = .014</math>). No statistically significant group difference was found in the overall frequency of alcohol use.</p>
<b>Studies Measuring Outcome</b>	Study 3, Study 4
<b>Study Designs</b>	Quasi-experimental
<b>Quality of Research Rating</b>	3.3 (0.0-4.0 scale)

5. **Cost effectiveness report (Washington State Institute of Public Policy – if available)**
6. **Washington State results (from Performance Based Prevention System (PBPS) – if available)**
7. **Who is using this program/strategy**

<b>Washington Counties</b>	<b>Oregon Counties</b>
Jefferson, Lincoln, Thurston/Mason, Whitman	

## ***Excellence in Prevention*** – descriptions of the prevention programs and strategies with the greatest evidence of success

---

### **8. Study populations**

The following populations were identified in the studies reviewed for Quality of Research.

<b>Study</b>	<b>Age</b>	<b>Gender</b>	<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>
<b>Study 1</b>	6-12 (Childhood)	Data not reported/available	44% White 34% Hispanic or Latino 15% Black or African American 4% Race/ethnicity unspecified 2% Asian 1% American Indian or Alaska Native
<b>Study 2</b>	6-12 (Childhood)	52% Female 48% Male	54% White 20% Hispanic or Latino 15% Black or African American 11% Race/ethnicity unspecified
<b>Study 3</b>	6-12 (Childhood)	51% Female 49% Male	56% White 22% Hispanic or Latino 10% Black or African American 9% American Indian or Alaska Native 2% Race/ethnicity unspecified 1% Asian

# ***Excellence in Prevention*** – descriptions of the prevention programs and strategies with the greatest evidence of success

---

<b>Study 4</b>	13-17 (Adolescent) 18-25 (Young adult)	70% Female 30% Male	66% White 13% Black or African American 12% Hispanic or Latino 9% Race/ethnicity unspecified
----------------	---	------------------------	---

## **9. Quality of studies**

The documents below were reviewed for Quality of Research. The research point of contact can provide information regarding the studies reviewed and the availability of additional materials, including those from more recent studies that may have been conducted.

### **Study 1**

Bell, M. L., Padget, A., Kelley-Baker, T., & Rider, R. (2007). Can first and second grade students benefit from an alcohol use prevention program? *Journal of Child and Adolescent Substance Use*, 16(3), 89-107.

### **Study 2**

Bohman, T. M., Barker, E. D., Bell, M., Lewis, C. M., Hollerman, L., & Pomeroy, E. (2004). Early intervention for alcohol use prevention and 40.

### **Study 3**

Bell, M., Kelley-Baker, T., Rider, R., & Ringwalt, C. (2005). Protecting You/Protecting Me: Effects of an alcohol prevention and vehicle safety program on elementary students. *Journal of School Health*, 75(5), 171-177.

Padget, A., Bell, M. L., Shamblen, S. R., & Ringwalt, C. L. (2006). Does learning about the effects of alcohol on the developing brain affect children's alcohol use? *Prevention Science*, 7, 293-302.

### **Study 4**

Padget, A., Bell, M., Shamblen, S. R., & Ringwalt, C. (2005). Effects on high school students of teaching a cross-age alcohol prevention program. *Journal of Drug Education*, 35(3), 201-216.

### **Supplementary Materials**

Background information on reliability and validity of PY/PM measures

# ***Excellence in Prevention*** – descriptions of the prevention programs and strategies with the greatest evidence of success

---

Bell, M. L., Bliss, K., Padget, A., & Bonsu, A. (n.d.). The experience of MADD's Protecting You/Protecting Me: Using evaluation to enhance program development. Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration.

Bell, M., Kelley-Baker, T., Bliss, K., Jones, K., & Falb, T. (2004). Protecting You/Protecting Me: An alcohol prevention program for elementary students taught by peer helpers. *Peer Facilitator Quarterly*, 19(3), 126-136.

Bell, M., Kelley-Baker, T., Falb, T., & Roberts-Gray, C. (2005). Protecting You/Protecting Me: Evaluation of a student-led alcohol prevention and traffic safety program for elementary students. *Journal of Alcohol and Drug Education*, 49(1), 33-53.

Pankratz, M. M., Jackson-Newson, J., Giles, S. M., Ringwalt, C. L., Bliss, K., & Bell, M. (2006). Implementation fidelity in a teacher-led alcohol use prevention curriculum. *Journal of Drug Education*, 36(4), 317-333.

Simmons, J., & Bratkovich, R. (2003). Protecting You/Protecting Me: Teacher feedback, Year III. Internal report.

Steiner, J. C. (2006). MADD New Mexico's Protecting You/Protecting Me program at the Albuquerque Public Schools. Final report for fiscal year 2006. Prepared for the Bernalillo County DWI program.

## **Quality of Research Ratings by Criteria (0.0-4.0 scale)**

External reviewers independently evaluate the Quality of Research for an intervention's reported results using six criteria:

1. Reliability of measures
2. Validity of measures
3. Intervention fidelity
4. Missing data and attrition
5. Potential confounding variables
6. Appropriateness of analysis

For more information about these criteria and the meaning of the ratings, see Quality of Research.

<b>Outcome</b>	Reliability of Measures	Validity of Measures	Fidelity	Missing Data/Attrition	Confounding Variables	Data Analysis	Overall Rating

---

***Excellence in Prevention*** is a project of Oregon Addiction and Mental Health Services and Washington Division of Behavioral Health and Recovery. Information is drawn from many sources, including the National Registry for Effective Prevention Programs (NREPP), sponsored by the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention.

## ***Excellence in Prevention*** – descriptions of the prevention programs and strategies with the greatest evidence of success

---

<b>1: Media awareness and literacy</b>	2.2	2.3	2.7	3.2	2.8	3.9	2.8
<b>2: Alcohol use risk and protective factors</b>	3.1	3.3	2.5	3.4	2.8	3.9	3.2
<b>3: Knowledge of brain growth and development</b>	2.4	2.4	2.5	3.4	2.8	3.9	2.9
<b>4: Vehicle safety knowledge/skills</b>	2.9	2.6	2.5	3.4	2.8	3.9	3.0
<b>5: Alcohol use</b>	3.1	3.6	2.5	3.8	2.8	4.0	3.3

### **Study Strengths**

The studies addressed teacher training and fidelity and had high retention rates. The analyses were appropriate and took into account attrition and other confounding variables. The psychometric properties of the measures used were generally strong for the older participants.

### **Study Weaknesses**

One weakness of these studies is related to the survey items and the difficulty of surveying young children. Specifically, while the Monitoring the Future survey is a reliable instrument, it is designed for secondary students, not primary students. In these studies, it is not known whether the elementary students understood all the survey items, particularly those related to brain growth and development and driving safety. The individuals administering the questionnaire were not blind to the study design, increasing the possibility of bias. Intervention fidelity in some of the studies was not adequately addressed.

## **10. Readiness for Dissemination**

The materials below were reviewed for Readiness for Dissemination. The implementation point of contact can provide information regarding implementation of the intervention and the availability of additional, updated, or new materials.

### **Dissemination Materials**

Bell, M. L., Bliss, K., Padgett, A., & Bonsu, A. (n.d.). The experience of MADD's Protecting You/Protecting Me: Using evaluation to enhance program development. Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration.

## ***Excellence in Prevention*** – descriptions of the prevention programs and strategies with the greatest evidence of success

---

- MADD. (2000). I don't trust my life to luck: Reasons for rules and laws. Center City, MN: Hazelden.
- MADD. (2000). Our brain is the boss: When will I be a grown up? Center City, MN: Hazelden.
- MADD. (2000). Stop and think it through: Maggie and the medicine. Center City, MN: Hazelden.
- MADD. (2006). Protecting You, Protecting Me: Adult-led training manual and materials. Center City, MN: Hazelden.
- MADD. (2006). Protecting You, Protecting Me: An alcohol use prevention curriculum--Grade 1 teaching guide. Center City, MN: Hazelden.
- MADD. (2006). Protecting You, Protecting Me: An alcohol use prevention curriculum--Grade 2 teaching guide. Center City, MN: Hazelden.
- MADD. (2006). Protecting You, Protecting Me: An alcohol use prevention curriculum--Grade 3 teaching guide. Center City, MN: Hazelden.
- MADD. (2006). Protecting You, Protecting Me: An alcohol use prevention curriculum--Grade 4 teaching guide. Center City, MN: Hazelden.
- MADD. (2006). Protecting You, Protecting Me: An alcohol use prevention curriculum--Grade 5 teaching guide. Center City, MN: Hazelden.
- MADD. (n.d.). Protecting You Protecting Me: Curriculum evaluation form. Center City, MN: Hazelden.
- MADD. (n.d.). Protecting You Protecting Me: Directions for administering elementary student surveys. Center City, MN: Hazelden.
- MADD. (n.d.). Protecting You Protecting Me: Fidelity checklist. Center City, MN: Hazelden.
- MADD. (n.d.). Protecting You Protecting Me Survey: Grades 1-3. Center City, MN: Hazelden.
- MADD. (n.d.). Protecting You Protecting Me Survey: Grades 4-5. Center City, MN: Hazelden.
- MADD. (n.d.). Protecting You, Protecting Me: Why 21? It's all about the underage brain [VHS]. Center City, MN: Hazelden.
- MADD. (n.d.). Protecting You, Protecting Me: Youth-led training manual and materials. Center City, MN: Hazelden.
- Program Web site, <http://www.hazelden.org/pypm>

# ***Excellence in Prevention*** – descriptions of the prevention programs and strategies with the greatest evidence of success

---

## **Readiness for Dissemination Ratings by Criteria (0.0-4.0 scale)**

External reviewers independently evaluate the intervention's Readiness for Dissemination using three criteria:

1. Availability of implementation materials
2. Availability of training and support resources
3. Availability of quality assurance procedures

For more information about these criteria and the meaning of the ratings, see Readiness for Dissemination.

<b>Implementation Materials</b>	<b>Training and Support Resources</b>	<b>Quality Assurance Procedures</b>	<b>Overall Rating</b>
4.0	4.0	3.3	3.8

## **Dissemination Strengths**

Program materials are comprehensive, easy to follow, engaging, and age appropriate. The online training for teachers provides a flexible alternative for those who cannot attend the national training. The evaluation guide includes a fidelity checklist, outcome measurement guidance, and a number of evaluation forms and surveys to support quality assurance.

## **Dissemination Weaknesses**

Additional quality assurance tools are needed to measure, monitor, and improve implementation fidelity.

## **11. Costs (if available)**

The cost information below was provided by the developer. Although this cost information may have been updated by the developer since the time of review, it may not reflect the current costs or availability of items (including newly developed or discontinued items). The implementation point of contact can provide current information and discuss implementation requirements.

<b>Item Description</b>	<b>Cost</b>	<b>Required by Program Developer</b>
Youth-led, 2-day, on-site National Teacher Training Institute (includes youth-led curriculum, phone/email technical assistance, feedback for one videotaped lesson, fidelity)	Varies depending on number of participants and location of training (minimum of 15 participants)	Yes, one training option is required for youth-led version

## ***Excellence in Prevention*** – descriptions of the prevention programs and strategies with the greatest evidence of success

---

checklist, evaluation instruments, and supporting materials)		
Youth-led Web-based training with six 2-hour modules (includes youth-led curriculum, phone/email technical assistance, feedback for one videotaped lesson, fidelity checklist, evaluation instruments, and supporting materials)	\$900 (minimum of eight participants)	Yes, one training option is required for youth-led version
Adult-led version teaching guides (includes scope and sequence document, research information, and fidelity checklist)	\$139 per guide per grade level	Yes, for adult-led version
Adult-led online training package (includes adult-led version teaching guides for grades 1-5)	\$720 per participant	No
Adult-led online training	\$75 per participant per grade level	No
Adult-led, 1-day, on-site basic or refresher training	\$2,200 per site plus travel expenses	No
Adult-led, 1-day, off-site basic or refresher training	\$200 per participant	No
Technical assistance	\$100 per hour	No

### **Additional Information**

Discounts are available depending on the volume of orders. Purchasers who place orders through Hazelden's Online Bookstore receive free shipping.

---

***Excellence in Prevention*** is a project of Oregon Addiction and Mental Health Services and Washington Division of Behavioral Health and Recovery. Information is drawn from many sources, including the National Registry for Effective Prevention Programs (NREPP), sponsored by the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention.

# ***Excellence in Prevention*** – descriptions of the prevention programs and strategies with the greatest evidence of success

---

## **12. Contacts**

### **For information on implementation:**

Richard Solly  
(651) 213-4484  
rsolly@hazelden.org

Kappie K. Bliss, M.Ed., LPC  
(512) 632-0391  
kappie@kbliss.com

### **For information on research:**

Mary Lou Bell, M.A., M.B.A.  
(512) 346-9502  
marylou@bellgrp.com

Kappie K. Bliss, M.Ed., LPC  
(512) 632-0391  
kappie@kbliss.com

**Learn More by Visiting:** <http://www.pypm.org> OR <http://www.hazelden.org/pypm>